

1 BY THE COURT: Yes. That'll be fine.

2 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

3 (FOLLOWING THE BENCH CONFERENCE, THE  
4 TRIAL CONTINUED AS FOLLOWS IN THE PRESENCE  
5 AND HEARING OF THE JURY:)

6 BY THE COURT: All right. State may  
7 call its next witness.

8 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Your Honor, the State  
9 calls Dr. Mark LeVaughn.

10 BY THE COURT: Before this witness  
11 begins, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, I  
12 am going to advise you that because this  
13 witness may be tendered as an expert, we're  
14 going to go into the lunch hour so that he  
15 can complete his testimony. So please bear  
16 with, and I hope you brought your water in  
17 the courtroom with you to take a sip of. So,  
18 please, if you'll work with us on this  
19 witness, we do need to allow him to complete  
20 his examination. All right.

21 All right. Dr. LeVaughn, if you'll just  
22 remain standing for a moment, my clerk will  
23 swear you in.

24 MARK LEVAUGHN, M.D.,

called as a witness on behalf of the State, having first

EXHIBIT

"3"

tabbles

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

318

1 been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows,  
2 to-wit:

3 BY THE COURT: You may be seated. And  
4 do I need him to go ahead and warm up the  
5 overhead? Is it ready?

6 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Please, Your Honor.

7 BY THE COURT: Could I get the bailiff  
8 to go ahead and warm up the overhead? We'll  
9 turn the lights when you're ready.

10 All right, Counsel. You may proceed.

11 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor. Do  
12 you want to wait just a minute on the lights?

13 BY THE COURT: Just wait, yes. Just  
14 wait. All right. You may proceed.

15 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTHRIE:

17 Q. Please state your name for the record.

18 A. Mark LeVaughn, L-E- capital -V-A-U-G-H-N.

19 BY THE COURT: Dr. LeVaughn, you can  
20 remove your face covering while you testify?  
21 I have mine on.

22 BY THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

24 Q. Dr. LeVaughn, where are you employed?

25 A. I'm employed at the Mississippi Department of

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

319

1 Public Safety with the medical examiner's office as a  
2 contract forensic pathologist.

3 Q. Okay. And in January of 2019, in -- where  
4 were you employed?

5 A. I was employed at the same facility. At that  
6 time I was the chief medical examiner. I recently  
7 retired.

8 Q. Okay. And does that position require special  
9 education or training?

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. What does that entail?

12 A. I'll just -- my education, I graduated from  
13 high school and college in Ohio. I graduated from the  
14 University of Tennessee College of Medicine in 1983. I  
15 completed a pathology residency training program at  
16 West Virginia University. And following that I  
17 completed a forensic pathology fellowship training  
18 program at the University of Tennessee, and I think that  
19 was in 1988 or '89.

20 I'm board certified in anatomic pathology and  
21 forensic pathology, and I'm licensed to practice  
22 medicine in Indiana and Mississippi.

23 Q. Okay. And how long have you been working as  
24 a medical examiner?

25 A. Approximately 33 years.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

320

1 Q. Okay.

2 BY MR. GUTHRIE: At this time, Your  
3 Honor, the State would tender Dr. Mark  
4 LeVaughn as an expert in forensic pathology.

5 BY THE COURT: Any objection by Defense  
6 Barney?

7 BY MR. CORY: No, Your Honor.

8 BY THE COURT: Any objection by Defense  
9 Lampley?

10 BY MR. SPRINGER: No, Your Honor.

11 BY THE COURT: The witness may be so  
12 admitted as an expert in the field of  
13 forensic pathology. You may proceed.

14 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

15 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

16 Q. Dr. Mark LeVaughn, in your capacity as the  
17 chief medical examiner for the State of Mississippi, did  
18 you issue a report for George Robinson?

19 A. Yes, sir, I did.

20 BY MR. GUTHRIE: May I approach,  
21 Your Honor?

22 BY THE COURT: You may.

23 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

24 Q. Dr. LeVaughn, I've handed you a document.  
25 Have you seen that before?

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

321

1 A. (Examining.) Yes, sir, I have.

2 Q. And is this document in the same form as it  
3 was when you saw it?

4 A. It's the same form as the time that I created  
5 it, yes, sir.

6 Q. Has it been manipulated, changed or altered  
7 in any way?

8 A. I do not see that it has, no, sir.

9 Q. And what is this document that we're looking  
10 at?

11 A. This is the final autopsy or postmortem  
12 report that I generated on our patient, George Robinson.

13 BY MR. GUTHRIE: At this time, Your  
14 Honor, the State would move to have this  
15 exhibit or have this document admitted into  
16 evidence.

17 BY THE COURT: Any objection by Defense  
18 Barney?

19 BY MR. CORY: No, Your Honor.

20 BY THE COURT: Any objection by Defense  
21 Lampley?

22 BY MR. SPRINGER: No, Your Honor.

23 BY THE COURT: It may be so admitted.

24 (SAID REPORT OF POSTMORTEM EXAMINATION SIGNED BY MARK  
25 LEVAUGHN, M.D., AND DATED JULY 27, 2020 WAS MARKED

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

322

1 EXHIBIT NUMBER 6, WAS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE, AND MAY BE  
2 FOUND APART FROM THE RECORD.)

3 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

4 Q. Dr. LeVaughn, just to make it somewhat easy,  
5 but in your hands, is this the same document that you  
6 have?

7 A. Excuse me. The image is the front page.  
8 Yes, sir.

9 Q. Correct. And how many pages do you have?  
10 I'll tell you what, I'll trade with you. Let me have  
11 the marked copy, and I'll give you the copy. And I'm  
12 going to let you keep that in your hand just in case  
13 it's difficult for you to read from the overhead  
14 projector.

15 Is this the report we were talking about just a  
16 second ago?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Okay. And can you tell us a little bit about  
19 just the cursory information that this -- at the top?  
20 The Case Number, what is that?

21 A. The Case Number is ME19-0058. That is the  
22 unique postmortem or autopsy number for the patient,  
23 George Robinson.

24 Q. And the date of the examination?

25 A. January 17, 2019.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

323

1 Q. And the date of the report?

2 A. July 27, 2020.

3 Q. All right. Did you actually do the  
4 examination?

5 A. No, sir, I did not.

6 Q. And who did the examination?

7 A. Dr. Brent Davis.

8 Q. Okay. And was he employed by the state  
9 medical examiner's office?

10 A. Yes, sir. Correct.

11 Q. And what was his position?

12 A. He was a deputy chief medical examiner for  
13 the State of Mississippi.

14 Q. Okay. And he performed the actual autopsy?

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. All right. But you generated the report?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. What did you use to generate this report?

19 A. I used the entire case file, all of the  
20 documents that was in the case file. I examined autopsy  
21 photographs. I examined the coroner's investigative  
22 report. There were medical records available, and I  
23 examined the toxicology report and generated microscopic  
24 slides of the -- some of the tissues of Mr. Robinson.

25 Q. Okay. And were you able to use that

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

324

1 information in order to generate an opinion?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Okay. And before we get into the cause and  
4 manner of death, what I'd like for you to do is tell us  
5 in your examination of Mr. George Robinson what you  
6 found. And first start out with, how tall was  
7 Mr. Robinson?

8 A. He was documented at the medical examiner's  
9 office to be 67 inches tall.

10 Q. And that's five-foot-seven?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. And weight?

13 A. His weight was recorded as 210 pounds.

14 Q. And age?

15 A. Sixty-two years old.

16 Q. Yes, sir. All right. And we go down to the  
17 evidence of injury, and what do you have there?

18 A. The external evidence of injury, I described  
19 three contusions on the forehead and right side of his  
20 face and head.

21 Q. Okay. And --

22 A. I'm sorry. Abrasions, not contusions.

23 Q. Okay. All right. So you noticed three  
24 abrasions on the right side?

25 A. That's correct.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

325

1 Q. And did you notice any swelling also there?

2 A. I observed swelling around the soft tissue or  
3 what's called the periorbital tissue around the right  
4 eye.

5 Q. Okay.

6 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Your Honor, I'm going  
7 to show counsel opposite some photos. May we  
8 approach, Your Honor?

9 BY THE COURT: You may. Mr. Kinnard,  
10 give me just a little light, please.

11 (Examining photos.)

12 (THE FOLLOWING BENCH CONFERENCE OCCURRED  
13 BETWEEN THE COURT, COUNSEL AND THE COURT  
14 REPORTER OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF THE JURY:)

15 BY THE COURT: Huh-uh (negative  
16 response). Huh-uh (negative response). Two  
17 things we need to do -- what says -- okay --  
18 these four --

19 BY MR. GUTHRIE: I can't hear you,  
20 Judge. I'm sorry.

21 BY THE COURT: These four, obviously,  
22 the Court has no concerns about them being  
23 presented to the jury. These two are  
24 extremely graphic (indicating). Do you  
25 have -- sometimes they have an anatomic or

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

326

1 sketch or something else that could be used,  
2 but --

3 BY MR. GUTHRIE: And I -- I understand  
4 that they are graphic in nature, Your Honor,  
5 but they are the only photos from the autopsy  
6 report that show the injury and specifically  
7 what we're dealing with is the scalpal (sic)  
8 contusion, which means on the skin in between  
9 the skull and the face. And one picture  
10 shows the scalpal contusions that they've  
11 contested prior -- but existed.

12 The second picture shows the subdural  
13 hematoma and it also shows the location of  
14 the abrasion, the contusion and the subdural  
15 hematoma, the location all being in the exact  
16 same spots. So I wanted to show them to the  
17 jury so that the witness, Dr. LeVaughn, could  
18 specifically identify what he saw, why he saw  
19 it and then the location of it.

20 BY THE COURT: What says the defense?

21 BY MR. CORY: Judge, for that purpose, I  
22 don't think it's proper. I don't think --  
23 the CT shows where the contusions were.  
24 There is a diagnostic device that does it on  
25 that. I don't think he can extrapolate

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

327

1 location of injuries based on that picture.

2 BY THE COURT: Do you have any other  
3 photos the Court can look at?

4 BY MR. GUTHRIE: I don't, Your Honor. I  
5 didn't bring them down. I pared them all  
6 down.

7 BY THE COURT: Let me get the -- let me  
8 get the jury to step out for just a moment.

9 BY THE BAILIFF: All rise.

10 (THE JURY WAS EXCUSED FROM THE COURTROOM AND THE  
11 FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE AND  
12 HEARING OF THE JURY:)

13 BY THE COURT: All right. You all may  
14 be seated.

15 Dr. LeVaughn, in an assistance to the  
16 Court -- there are some photos that are  
17 extremely graphic even for the Court, and I'm  
18 rather seasoned at looking at medical  
19 reports. And I need the district attorney's  
20 office to advise the Court -- I have to ask  
21 this. Have you all shown these photographs  
22 to the victim's family at any time prior to  
23 this trial?

24 BY MR. GUTHRIE: I have not, Your Honor.

25 BY MS. HODGES: I have not either.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

328

1 BY THE COURT: All right. So to the  
2 family members of Mr. Robinson, we're now at  
3 a point where we are looking at some graphic  
4 images that were reproduced during his  
5 autopsy. You have not seen these  
6 photographs. I know that you are here  
7 present in the courtroom, but at this time  
8 I'm going to allow you an opportunity if you  
9 want to excuse yourself while the jury is out  
10 to go ahead and do so. You may.

11 Now, if you stay in the courtroom, you  
12 may see some images that may be very  
13 disturbing to you, so I do want to give you  
14 all this opportunity not to have to see those  
15 images.

16 Now, Dr. LeVaughn, as an assistance to  
17 the Court, he is trying to show depictions of  
18 the scalp area where there was some  
19 hemorrhaging and also of the subdural  
20 hematoma. Do you know if there were any  
21 black -- were there any black and white  
22 anatomical drawings created of those images  
23 that might not be so graphic that you could  
24 use to exhibit to the jury in this case?

25 BY THE WITNESS: The only drawings are

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

329

1           made of the external body injuries, not of  
2           the internal.

3           BY THE COURT: Not of the internal  
4           injuries. Okay. And looking at -- and this  
5           is why -- looking at this image, that's hard  
6           for me to look at. Is there anything else of  
7           these that maybe depicts that that's a little  
8           less graphic? And if I have to show just  
9           one, I will show just one.

10          BY THE WITNESS: (Examining photos.)  
11          (Indicating.)

12          BY THE COURT: That would give you  
13          everything that you needed to show to  
14          testify?

15          BY THE WITNESS: If I have to pick just  
16          one, I would use it --

17          BY THE COURT: That one?

18          BY THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

19          BY THE COURT: All right. Because with  
20          that one it would show the hematoma, the  
21          scalp, everything else he -- he discussed?

22          BY THE WITNESS: That's correct. Yes,  
23          ma'am.

24          BY THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Is  
25          this that image (indicating)?

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

330

1 BY THE WITNESS: That's correct. Yes,  
2 ma'am.

3 BY THE COURT: All right. Well, so  
4 here's what I'm going to do. After  
5 consultation with Dr. LeVaughn in order to  
6 give the Court some guidance on this matter,  
7 I am going to -- this -- I've been handed two  
8 exhibits. This one he does not need. He has  
9 provided -- and I don't know who's going to  
10 be doing the cross-examination.

11 BY MR. CORY: I will be doing it.

12 BY THE COURT: So just those parties  
13 who -- those attorneys who will be  
14 participating in this -- the examination of  
15 this witness, if you'll just come forward.  
16 Mr. Guthrie --

17 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor. I'm  
18 just making sure I put that picture -- I  
19 didn't want to inadvertently --

20 BY THE COURT: Okay. So this -- he says  
21 that with this image he can -- put it down.  
22 I'm not trying to publish it on the stream.  
23 Do you all see the one?

24 BY MR. CORY: Yes, ma'am.

25 BY MR. SPRINGER: Yes, ma'am.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

331

1 BY THE COURT: He said that it would  
2 cover all areas that he needed to discuss. I  
3 am not going to display that. I mean, you  
4 can -- I'm not going to put that on the  
5 overhead. I may have to -- yeah, I got to.  
6 Mr. -- take this.

7 BY MR. CORY: While we're discussing  
8 it --

9 BY THE COURT: It was graphic enough  
10 even to the Court, so I can imagine -- and  
11 I'm going to have to warn the jury about that  
12 before they see it because it is --

13 BY MR. CORY: Judge, just on -- so we  
14 don't have to come back up, we don't plan to  
15 use any of the open body pictures.

16 BY THE COURT: No.

17 BY MR. CORY: But as far as the rest of  
18 the pictures we may use, I just want to make  
19 sure if we're -- if there was -- (examining.)

20 BY THE COURT: Why do you need that?

21 BY MR. CORY: To show no bruising on his  
22 legs. I think there's another -- I mean,  
23 both of those pictures -- the fact that he  
24 has no injuries anywhere other than his --

25 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Objection, Your Honor.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

332

1 BY THE COURT: No, we're not -- no.

2 BY MR. CORY: Yeah, I'm fine with that  
3 one coming out. But there's not another  
4 picture that shows the legs or there's no  
5 other abrasions on his body.

6 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Objection, Your Honor.

7 BY THE COURT: Okay. The only thing  
8 about this one, it only shows one -- one of  
9 his legs -- doesn't show the other one.

10 BY MR. CORY: I don't mind pulling that  
11 one out just because we have others. If the  
12 Court's going to exclude it because of the  
13 ankle monitor --

14 BY MR. GUTHRIE: This one I've got in.

15 BY MR. CORY: Okay.

16 BY MR. GUTHRIE: This one would be  
17 repetitive and I object to it.

18 BY MR. CORY: Agree.

19 BY MR. GUTHRIE: This one I have in.

20 BY THE COURT REPORTER: Y'all speak up,  
21 please.

22 BY MR. GUTHRIE: I'm not sure of the  
23 relevance, Your Honor.

24 BY MR. CORY: I'm fine with that one.

25 BY MR. GUTHRIE: This appears -- and I'm

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

333

1 not sure of the relevance, Your Honor. It  
2 doesn't show any of the injuries in the  
3 autopsy photo --

4 BY MR. CORY: The affidavit says he was  
5 struck in the chest. I think it shows  
6 there's just no bruises to the back or  
7 anywhere.

8 BY THE COURT: Okay.

9 BY MR. CORY: But, I mean, we can --

10 BY THE COURT: So my understanding is  
11 that you --

12 BY MR. GUTHRIE: This is -- I think  
13 shows the rear end, Your Honor.

14 BY MR. CORY: I'm not trying to show  
15 that but --

16 BY THE COURT: That could be postmortem  
17 settling.

18 BY MR. GUTHRIE: That's his waistline  
19 right here.

20 BY MR. CORY: Let me see what else we've  
21 got. I don't need that one.

22 BY THE COURT: Okay. So after you all  
23 have flipped through all of those, what's  
24 remaining that he -- that the defense intends  
25 to use?

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

334

1 BY MR. GUTHRIE: He has an additional  
2 picture of the top of the head, which we've  
3 already got one of, and then this is a  
4 picture of the other side with -- the left  
5 side where there wasn't supposed to be any  
6 injuries.

7 BY THE COURT: That's okay.

8 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Okay. And I don't have  
9 any objection --

10 BY THE COURT: You don't have any  
11 objection to that one.

12 BY MR. GUTHRIE: This one, I think, is  
13 duplicitious, but I chose to cut it out  
14 because I could show the same things in the  
15 other ones. But that was why I took it out.

16 BY MR. CORY: It just shows a different  
17 angle of the head and no other injuries on  
18 the top of the head.

19 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Which was shown in --

20 BY MR. CORY: I don't think it's  
21 duplicative.

22 BY THE COURT: All right. So how many  
23 cranial views do we have?

24 BY MR. GUTHRIE: As a matter of fact,  
25 I've got it --

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

335

1 BY THE COURT: They have it.

2 BY MR. CORY: That's it. That's good.  
3 The only question, then, will be one of those  
4 two.

5 BY THE COURT: Which of these two?

6 BY MR. CORY: Or -- yes, ma'am. Just to  
7 show there were no bruises on the legs.

8 BY MR. GUTHRIE: The State's position is  
9 there's never been any allegations of bruises  
10 on the legs either in the reports of any of  
11 the people or the --

12 BY THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask this  
13 question. So we have the views of the head.  
14 We have the views of the thoracic area, the  
15 chest/back, chest/front -- thank you --  
16 chest/back.

17 BY MR. GUTHRIE: That was in one of the  
18 photos he wanted to come in.

19 BY THE COURT: Do you have one of the  
20 back of the decedent?

21 BY MR. CORY: I don't need to show the  
22 back.

23 BY THE COURT: Okay. All right. So,  
24 now, the lower portion, all we need are the  
25 legs? Let's do -- is there any objection to

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

336

1                   this one? I think it's less graphic.

2                   BY MR. GUTHRIE: Which one? The one you  
3                   hand -- yes, Your Honor. It shows the ankle  
4                   monitor.

5                   BY THE COURT: Can't help it. I can  
6                   instruct the jury on that issue.

7                   BY MR. GUTHRIE: There's never been an  
8                   allegation of any injuries to the legs.

9                   BY MR. CORY: The wit- --

10                  BY MR. GUTHRIE: So what would be the  
11                  relevance to showing a picture of the legs  
12                  when we've never -- no -- nobody --

13                  BY THE COURT: Tell you what we'll do,  
14                  reserve your objection. But the ones you all  
15                  can agree on, let's go ahead and we have  
16                  those admitted and marked, premarked, so when  
17                  the jury comes I don't have to waste -- not  
18                  waste -- we don't have to spend time marking  
19                  those. On the ones -- the one you have an  
20                  objection on, I'll reserve ruling on that  
21                  until he attempts to admit it. How about  
22                  that? Can we do that?

23                  BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

24                  BY MR. CORY: May I say one thing? If  
25                  it doesn't change your ruling, then I won't

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

337

1 put it in. The witnesses have been unclear  
2 about what -- there are witnesses who said  
3 they didn't know he was struck, things of  
4 that nature. I don't want the jury to think,  
5 I mean, that there were bruises -- injuries  
6 somewhere else. There is inconsistent  
7 witness testimony on this issue.

8 BY MR. GUTHRIE: And that could be  
9 cleared up verbally by asking Dr. LeVaughn,  
10 "Did you find any other signs of bruising or  
11 assault?" And he can go one by one to the  
12 left leg, to the right leg. And Dr. LeVaughn  
13 can answer that. Because there -- in my mind  
14 there is no point in showing a picture of  
15 something that doesn't exist, but there is a  
16 point to showing a picture of something that  
17 does exist.

18 BY THE COURT: Well -- okay. That may  
19 be the State's position; however, the defense  
20 is entitled to craft their defense on all  
21 issues and one of these in according --  
22 because this is second degree, that use of  
23 force issue becomes an element of the initial  
24 charge and whether or not there were any  
25 strikes or blows and where -- so I can't omit

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

338

1 the defense's right to proceed on that issue.  
2 I can understand the State's objection, but I  
3 need to balance that with everything else  
4 that we hear.

5 So I would suggest to the parties that as  
6 to that last exhibit since the State has a  
7 position on the record, the defense has a  
8 position on that, I'll reserve ruling on that  
9 one until that one exhibit of the thoracic  
10 area -- of the lower lumbar area -- is that  
11 what it is -- until presented by the defense.  
12 Can we do that?

13 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

14 BY THE COURT: All right. So let's go  
15 ahead and have the court reporter to premark  
16 the other exhibits that -- to which there are  
17 no --

18 BY MR. CORY: For ID on this one  
19 (indicating)?

20 BY MR. GUTHRIE: I think at the  
21 appropriate time when you will try to admit,  
22 then we can make the objection --

23 BY THE COURT: You can mark it for ID.  
24 Just say it's been previously marked for  
25 identification purposes only.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

339

1 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Okay.

2 BY THE COURT: I'm just trying to save  
3 some time because these people are going to  
4 get hungry on all of us. All right?

5 BY MR. GUTHRIE: These to be marked  
6 for -- admitted into evidence; this for ID  
7 only.

8 BY THE COURT REPORTER: Are these yours  
9 or his?

10 BY THE COURT: They're just marked.  
11 They're just marked.

12 (SAID AUTOPSY PHOTOS WERE MARKED EXHIBIT NUMBERS 7-12,  
13 WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE, AND MAY BE FOUND APART FROM  
14 THE RECORD.)

15 (SAID AUTOPSY PHOTO WAS MARKED EXHIBIT NUMBER 13 FOR  
16 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES ONLY, WAS RECEIVED INTO  
17 EVIDENCE, AND MAY BE FOUND APART FROM THE RECORD.)

18 BY THE COURT: Are we ready?

19 BY THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, ma'am.

20 BY THE COURT: All right. We can bring  
21 the jury back in.

22 BY THE BAILIFF: All rise.

23 (THE JURY RETURNED TO THE COURTROOM AND THE FOLLOWING  
24 PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD IN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE  
25 JURY:)

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

340

1 BY THE BAILIFF: The jury's in the  
2 courtroom.

3 BY THE COURT: All right. You all may  
4 be seated. Mr. Guthrie, you may proceed.

5 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

6 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

7 Q. Dr. LeVaughn, where we left off you were  
8 telling us about the evidence of the injuries and the  
9 external -- and just briefly what was it you found?

10 A. On the external exam there was evidence of  
11 three abrasions in the area of the right forehead.

12 Q. Okay. And furthermore what did you find?

13 A. There was also swelling around the soft  
14 tissue of the right eye.

15 Q. Okay. And -- well, go on down to the  
16 internal examination. Tell us what you found there.

17 A. I'm just going to follow along in the  
18 description, but the most obvious was the craniotomy,  
19 the surgical procedure.

20 Q. Tell us what that is. What did you find?

21 A. Well, a craniotomy is an examination of the  
22 internal skull. It's a surgical procedure. They make  
23 an incision on the scalp. They peel the scalp away from  
24 the bone, and they actually cut into the bone, remove a  
25 portion of the bone and then expose the actual brain for

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

341

1 the therapeutic procedure. In this case, it was for  
2 removal of a blood clot. So that was evident. The  
3 result of that was evident. The surgical procedure had  
4 ended, and it was closed and was -- the skin was sealed  
5 with surgical staples.

6 Further examining in -- through that there was  
7 evidence of what I described as diffuse subcutaneous  
8 soft tissue hemorrhage, which is blood in the scalp.  
9 And the locations were on the anterior, lateral and  
10 posterior, the back of the scalp on the right side of  
11 the head.

12 Q. Okay. And let me first stop you there. The  
13 diffuse subcutaneous soft tissue hemorrhage, is that  
14 supposed to be there?

15 A. Well, it's seen in the craniotomy procedure.  
16 But in addition to my opinion, under the areas of the  
17 abrasion on the right forehead there was also hemorrhage  
18 in that area and there was no clear line of distinction  
19 between the two. But in my opinion, some of that  
20 hemorrhage towards the front of the right side of the  
21 head was a result of the impact that caused the  
22 abrasions.

23 Q. Okay. All right. And going on to the next  
24 line.

25 A. The next line? There's --

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

342

1 Q. Well, really the next finding. The next line  
2 doesn't appear to have much but --

3 A. Okay. So the next finding would be that the  
4 brain had -- the cerebral hemispheres, the right and  
5 left actually halves of the brain, they're symmetric or  
6 the same size. The surfaces of the brain appear  
7 normally developed. There was a very thin layer of  
8 blood on the surface of the brain itself, the  
9 subarachnoid blood.

10 The brain was -- the right side of the brain was  
11 described as being soft. There was description of some  
12 hemorrhage internal in -- in the brain itself, a basal  
13 ganglia, which is an internal structure. And then I  
14 made the comment that there was no other documented  
15 pathologic changes in the brain and there was no  
16 evidence of any skull fractures.

17 Q. Okay. Now, just going quickly through the  
18 other areas, the neck, the heart, the lungs, the GI  
19 tract, did you find any other abnormalities in any of  
20 that?

21 A. There was no evidence of any documented  
22 injury to the neck. There was documented evidence of  
23 heart disease, atherosclerotic disease. There was  
24 severe narrowing of the left anterior, one of the  
25 coronary artery branches. The heart was somewhat

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

343

1 enlarged due to the weight. It was 490 grams, and  
2 that's, I don't know, moderately -- mild to moderately  
3 enlarged as far as just the weight of the heart.

4 The examination of the lungs didn't show any  
5 pathologic findings. The GI tract and organs in the  
6 abdomen showed no other documented pathologic findings.

7 Q. Okay. The next page has microscopic  
8 examinations. What did you find there?

9 A. The microscopic examination means that we  
10 took small portions of tissue from the organs and  
11 examined those under a higher magnification, a  
12 microscope. The heart showed changes consistent with  
13 hypertensive disease. The other lungs and abdominal  
14 organs didn't show any pathological findings. And then  
15 examination of the brain tissue showed small areas of  
16 hemorrhage, evidence of edema and some of the brain  
17 cells, the neurons, were starting to degenerate. And  
18 there was a small amount of inflammation also present.

19 Q. Okay. And after going through this report,  
20 were you able to -- do you have a summary or  
21 interpretation of everything?

22 A. Yes, I do.

23 Q. And can you give that to the Court?

24 A. You want me just to read it?

25 Q. If you'd like, yes.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

344

1           A.       Okay. It reads: "This 62-year-old male  
2 identified as George Robinson died as a result of  
3 multiple blunt injuries to his head. This is evidenced  
4 by facial abrasions, scalp contusions, brain contusions,  
5 subdural hematoma and brain swelling. These injuries  
6 resulted in his death.

7           In addition, there was evidence of hypertensive  
8 and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Toxicology  
9 was noncontributory in his death. Medical records were  
10 reviewed. With the currently available information and  
11 postmortem findings, the cause of death is multiple  
12 blunt head trauma and the manner of death is homicide."

13          Q.       All right. Dr. LeVaughn, you've stated some  
14 of these injuries, and I'm going to go through some  
15 pictures now so that you can explain to us your report  
16 kind of in the picture form so that we can see what  
17 these injuries are.

18               And the first thing I want to show is what's  
19 marked as Exhibit 7. And can you tell us what we're  
20 looking at here?

21          A.       Exhibit 7 is a photograph of Mr. Robinson  
22 prior to the internal or surgical examination portion of  
23 the autopsy.

24          Q.       Okay. So this is how he was presented to the  
25 medical examiner's office?

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

345

1           A.     That's correct.

2           Q.     And this is the condition of his body as you  
3 received it?

4           A.     That's correct.

5           Q.     Okay. In Exhibit 8, Dr. LeVaughn, tell us  
6 what it is we're looking at here.

7           A.     Exhibit 8 is a photograph of the face of  
8 Mr. Robinson. And in my opinion, there's swelling  
9 around the tissue of the right eye. It's called the  
10 periorbital soft tissue. And this area, in my opinion,  
11 is swollen (indicating).

12          Q.     Okay. And that's --

13          A.     In addition --

14          Q.     Is that on the left side of the photograph  
15 where you were using a red laser pointer?

16          A.     Well, as we look at it, yes, it's on the left  
17 side of the photograph, but it's on the anatomic right  
18 side of his body.

19          Q.     His right side but our left side of the  
20 photograph. And you're showing us what now with that  
21 pointer?

22          A.     The pointer is on the actual anatomic upper  
23 right eyelid which, in my opinion, shows swelling. And  
24 then above that on the right forehead, it's only  
25 partially visible, but there is one of the abrasions

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

346

1 that I also described.

2 Q. Okay. And, Dr. LeVaughn, going into that  
3 abrasion that you just described, are you able to see  
4 that? There's a glare on there but --

5 BY THE COURT: What number is this?

6 BY MR. GUTHRIE: This is Exhibit 9. I  
7 was trying to get it in such a way there  
8 didn't appear to be so much glare.

9 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

10 Q. All right. Dr. LeVaughn, can you show us  
11 what we're looking at here?

12 A. Yes. Exhibit 9 is a photograph of  
13 Mr. Robinson lying on the examination table and the  
14 photograph is directed towards the top of his head. The  
15 obvious findings are the closed craniotomy incision on  
16 the top and kind of going towards the back of his head.

17 Q. That's what appears to be the metal staples?

18 A. Yes. And those objects across the incision  
19 are surgical staples to hold the skin together.

20 Q. And that's from the surgical procedure, the  
21 craniotomy?

22 A. That is correct.

23 Q. Okay. So that's not what we're focused on,  
24 but it is what you found in the autopsy. But what is  
25 on -- is there any injuries in this photo?

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

347

1           A.     In my opinion, yes, sir. So on the right  
2 forehead -- the laser pointer is not illuminating -- but  
3 on the right forehead, this is an abrasion, right -- a  
4 little bit behind it is an abrasion and a little bit in  
5 front, below it is an abrasion. So those are the three  
6 skin injuries or abrasions, blunt injuries that I  
7 described.

8           Q.     And I'm going to put Exhibit 10 on. Does  
9 that show these same abrasions and injuries a little  
10 better --

11          A.     Yes.

12          Q.     -- or at a different angle?

13          A.     Exhibit 10, did you say?

14          Q.     Yes.

15          A.     Okay. Exhibit 10 is a photograph of the  
16 right side of Mr. Robinson's shoulder, head and neck and  
17 facing the top of the head. So in this photograph, the  
18 pointer is on abrasions on the top of his head and then  
19 also on the kind of the right lateral side of his head  
20 and the face. These are blunt impact type injuries, and  
21 there's also some swelling of the soft tissue of the  
22 right eye and most common in the right upper eyelid.

23          Q.     Okay. Dr. LeVaughn, the next photo is more  
24 graphic and I --

25                   BY THE COURT: Just a moment. I'm going

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

348

1 to pause the stream for this. You may --  
2 Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, the next  
3 photo is rather graphic. Bear with the  
4 State. You may proceed.

5 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

6 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

7 Q. Dr. LeVaughn, and just in preparation of the  
8 photo, it's more graphic and it is a picture -- and let  
9 me --

10 BY MR. GUTHRIE: May I approach the  
11 witness first, Your Honor?

12 BY THE COURT: You may.

13 BY MR. GUTHRIE: And it'll help to  
14 alleviate some of the...

15 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

16 Q. Do you see which photo it is, Dr. LeVaughn?

17 A. Yes, sir, I do.

18 Q. Okay. Now, in this photo, before we get to  
19 it, is this a picture of -- please describe the picture  
20 first so that we'll know what we're looking at.

21 A. Okay. During the autopsy examination we take  
22 continuous photos throughout the entire procedure. So  
23 the upcoming photo is a photograph of the internal  
24 examination of the head or cranial cavity which displays  
25 the skull bone that was removed, the scalp tissue with

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

349

1 hemorrhage and the brain as present in the cranial  
2 cavity.

3 Q. Okay. Dr. LeVaughn, so in this photo, do  
4 we -- you'll be showing us where the abrasion was on the  
5 outside -- on the outside of his skin?

6 A. Well, the photo shows, in my opinion, that  
7 there is hemorrhage from the surgical procedure and also  
8 hemorrhage in this scalp under the abrasions.

9 Q. And that's where I was going with it. So in  
10 the exact same location as where the external abrasions  
11 were, this photo is going to show the scalpal (sic)  
12 contusion; is that correct?

13 A. In my opinion, yes, sir.

14 Q. And also it will show the subdural hematoma?

15 A. Yes, sir, what was left of it after removal.  
16 But some of it is still present, yes.

17 Q. Okay. Then I'm going to show the photo for  
18 that reason. And, Dr. LeVaughn, can you point us to  
19 where the scalpal contusions are that you saw?

20 A. In the photograph towards the actual top of  
21 the photograph, that depicts the skin of the forehead.  
22 You're looking at the top of the head downward, so the  
23 area that is under the laser pointer is hemorrhage in  
24 the scalp and that is underlying the abrasions that were  
25 demonstrated earlier.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

350

1           The brain is exposed, the middle portion of the  
2 photograph, so we're looking towards the internal  
3 contents of the cranial cavity. But the bottom of the  
4 photograph is what we call the skull cap or the top of  
5 the skull. On the internal surface of this on the right  
6 side, this dark area is blood and that's in the area of  
7 what's called the subdural space. So that's some of the  
8 remaining subdural hemorrhage that was -- that remained  
9 after the surgical procedure.

10           And in the middle, the right cerebral hemisphere  
11 is on the right. And you'll see kind of a division in  
12 the middle, and this is the left cerebral hemisphere.  
13 And on the surface of the hemispheres, it has kind of a  
14 reddish-brown discoloration and that's what I've  
15 described as subarachnoid hemorrhage.

16           Q.     Okay. Dr. LeVaughn, I'm going to remove that  
17 photo, but I want to talk about what you saw in that.  
18 The contusions of the scalp were directly in line with  
19 the abrasions; is that correct?

20           A.     In my opinion, it was directly below the  
21 abrasions, yes.

22           Q.     Okay. And then the subdural hematoma  
23 appeared to be in the same location as the contusions?

24           A.     Well, no. The subdural hematoma was in the  
25 subdural space of the cranial cavity. So the top of the

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

351

1 skull, the skull cap was removed so you were looking at  
2 the internal surface of the skull. And on the right,  
3 that darkened reddish-brown area was some of the  
4 residual hemorrhage.

5 Q. Okay. All right. So did Mr. George Robinson  
6 die from the abrasions? And, I mean, if the abrasions  
7 alone were the only thing, is that the cause of his  
8 death?

9 A. No, sir.

10 Q. Are the contusions to the scalp, if that were  
11 alone, would that be the cause of his death?

12 A. I don't think so, no.

13 Q. Okay. So is the subdural hematoma the cause  
14 of his death?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. Okay. And then the subdural hematoma, in  
17 your opinion, was caused by what?

18 A. Caused by blunt impact to the head.

19 Q. Okay. Explain to us what a subdural hematoma  
20 is.

21 A. The brain sits within the skull, the cranial  
22 cavity. The brain has vascular supply, arteries and  
23 veins. So on the surface of the brain, there are small  
24 veins that drain the brain and they go to the dura which  
25 lines the internal skull. And there are larger veins in

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

352

1 that dural tissue.

2 So the subdural space is the space between the  
3 surface of the brain and the internal skull, and in that  
4 space are these small veins. So when those veins are  
5 torn and bleed, the bleeding occurs in the subdural  
6 space which is actually on the surface of the brain.  
7 And as that blood accumulates, it causes pressure on the  
8 brain and clinical effects due to that pressure.

9 Q. What kind of symptoms can an individual show  
10 from the swelling on the brain?

11 A. They complain of a headache. You could be  
12 dizzy. You could be nauseated, vomiting. You could  
13 have what's called an unsteady gait were you could not  
14 walk properly. You could be what's called somnolence or  
15 tiredness or sleepiness. You could have seizure  
16 activity. You can have -- and as the pressure increases  
17 and more structures of the brain have pressure on them,  
18 you can have what's called posturing which is an  
19 abnormal positioning of the body. It's involuntary and  
20 it's due to the pressure on the brain. And then you can  
21 ultimately have what's called herniation where the brain  
22 is squeezed into the places where it shouldn't be  
23 internally in the skull, and it can also maybe result in  
24 death of the patient.

25 Q. And you said the posturing. Is there two

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

353

1 types of posturing or one type or multiple types?

2 A. Well, there's two general types. One's  
3 called decorticate and the other is called decerebrate  
4 posturing. And they can occur separately or together,  
5 and they just indicate anatomic regions of the brain  
6 that are injured or have pressure.

7 Q. And can you tell us generally what this  
8 posturing is?

9 A. Posturing is a position of the body. It's  
10 involuntary and it's muscles contract and extend due to  
11 the pressure on the brain. So the arms can be drawn to  
12 your chest and abdomen, and then you can have extension  
13 of the extremities, extension of the feet, flexion of  
14 the ankles. These are all posturing -- positions of the  
15 posturing. And it's due to a head injury.

16 Q. Okay. And, Dr. LeVaughn, are you able to  
17 render an opinion as to the amount of force that's  
18 required to cause the abrasions to Mr. George Robinson?

19 A. I don't think I can quantitate it with any  
20 number or value, no. It was just some amount of impact  
21 injury to the head that caused the abrasion, caused the  
22 contusion and caused enough movement of the brain inside  
23 the skull to tear the small veins and initiate bleeding  
24 inside the skull.

25 Q. So the impact had to be significant enough to

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

354

1 cause -- the second step after the abrasion was a  
2 contusion of the scalp?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And then it needed to be significant enough  
5 to then go further to cause the subdural hematoma inside  
6 the -- between the brain -- if I understood right -- to  
7 tear the veins -- the brain had to shift enough to tear  
8 the brains (sic) from the scalp?

9 A. Well, tear the vessels that are on the  
10 surface of the brain --

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. -- inside the skull, yes.

13 Q. So the impact would need to be significant  
14 enough to cause the brain to shift?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Dr. LeVaughn, at the time that you rendered  
17 this report, had you reviewed all the medical records?

18 A. I come to find out, no.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. Did not.

21 Q. You had reviewed some of the medical records?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. When you do autopsy reports, do you always  
24 have all of the medical records?

25 A. No, sir.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Direct

355

1 Q. Now that -- have you since that time reviewed  
2 all medical records?

3 A. I believe I have, yes.

4 Q. And when I say "all medical records," I mean,  
5 the time when George Robinson was admitted to the  
6 hospital on the 13th of January, 2019, to the time that  
7 he passed away on January the 15th, 2019?

8 A. Yes. I have reviewed medical records that  
9 dealt with two prior examinations and in addition the  
10 hospitalization due to the subdural hematoma.

11 Q. Okay. So you've actually gone further back  
12 than just his visit that -- from this incident? You  
13 went back further to a previous incident?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Okay. All right. Dr. LeVaughn, has your  
16 opinion changed in any way from when you rendered it on  
17 July 27th, 2020, as to the matter of cause of death or  
18 the manner of death?

19 A. No, sir.

20 Q. What is the cause of death?

21 A. By definition or in this particular case?

22 Q. In this particular case.

23 A. In this particular case, I stated the cause  
24 of death was multiple blunt head injury.

25 Q. And you stated the manner of death is what?

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

356

1           A.     Homicide.

2           Q.     Dr. LeVaughn, the opinion to the cause of  
3 death and the manner of death for Mr. George Robinson,  
4 are you rendering that today to a reasonable degree of  
5 medical certainty?

6           A.     In my opinion, yes, sir.

7           Q.     Okay.

8                     BY MR. GUTHRIE: No further questions,  
9 Your Honor.

10                    BY THE COURT: All right. Cross by  
11 counsel for Barney.

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CORY:

13           Q.     Good afternoon, Dr. LeVaughn.

14           A.     Good afternoon, sir.

15           Q.     I'm Michael Cory. We've met before. I  
16 represent Desmond Barney in this case.

17                    So it's my understanding that you didn't become  
18 involved in the autopsy until 18 months afterwards, or  
19 you didn't become involved in preparing the report until  
20 18 months after the autopsy was done?

21           A.     Well, I didn't finalize the report until that  
22 date. I can't remember what involvement I had in  
23 between that time, but that's when I gave my final  
24 opinion, yes, sir.

25           Q.     And you weren't actually involved in the

1 autopsy. That was done by Dr. Davis?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And you testified that you've reviewed some  
4 additional medical records since you prepared a report,  
5 but you have not reviewed the CT scans, have you?

6 A. I have not reviewed the actual images. I've  
7 reviewed the reports from those images.

8 Q. And the history you had at the time you made  
9 the determination in this case was of an assault; is  
10 that correct?

11 A. That was the adjective that was used to  
12 describe an interaction this person had, yes.

13 Q. And you had no information about resisting  
14 arrest or exactly what happened? The only information  
15 you had about what happened was that Mr. Robinson was a  
16 victim of an assault?

17 A. That's the way it was written down, yes.

18 Q. And certainly that history of what happened  
19 can affect your determination as to the manner of death?

20 A. It can, yes.

21 Q. And by that you're telling the jury that if  
22 the facts are different than an assault, it may or may  
23 not be a homicide?

24 A. That's possible, yes.

25 Q. Is it -- I mean, is it possible or is

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

358

1 actually a true statement? I mean, just generally  
2 speaking, if you have an assault, a fall --

3 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Objection, Your Honor.

4 He gave his answer.

5 BY THE COURT: Overruled. You may  
6 proceed.

7 BY MR. CORY: (Continuing)

8 Q. I'm sorry, Dr. LeVaughn. If you have an  
9 assault, somebody's beat up, thrown to the ground, body  
10 slammed, all of that, that's one set of events that's --  
11 well, let me back up.

12 A homicide, as I understand it, is when the death  
13 is the result of the hands of another. Somebody else  
14 does it, somebody else inflicts the injury; is that  
15 right?

16 A. From the actions of another person, yes.

17 Q. Right. And if the actions of another person  
18 didn't cause the injury, then it wouldn't be a homicide?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. Thank you. Now, you also didn't -- at the  
21 time you did your report, you didn't have all the  
22 medical records; is that correct?

23 A. I did not have the total amount of records  
24 that I currently possess. That's correct.

25 Q. And as we sit here today, are you aware that

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

359

1 Mr. Robinson was on blood thinners?

2 A. I am now, yes.

3 Q. Now, I want to talk to you for a minute about  
4 subdural hematomas because that's important here in this  
5 case because that's -- obviously what Mr. Robinson died  
6 of was the fact that he had a subdural hemorrhage and --  
7 is that correct?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. And so that was bleeding of the brain. His  
10 brain was bleeding? A vessel --

11 A. Bleeding on the surface of the brain. The  
12 brain --

13 Q. Right.

14 A. -- itself -- but, yes.

15 Q. And my question was poor. I apologize.

16 A. No. That's fine.

17 Q. It was a vein that ruptured and started  
18 bleeding?

19 A. Yeah. Well, I'd say plural veins in --  
20 plural, but, yes, on the surface of the brain, not in  
21 the brain.

22 Q. And so you've done a lot of autopsies in your  
23 career, obviously, that involved subdural hemorrhages?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. And you're familiar with subdural

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

360

1 hemorrhages, aren't you?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And would you agree with me that a subdural  
4 hemorrhage is often caused by a major trauma, a  
5 significant trauma, a violent hit?

6 A. Well, the -- there's a spectrum of minor  
7 injury that can -- a subdural hemorrhage can result from  
8 a wide spectrum of injury from being severe to less  
9 severe and minor. But usually in massive head injuries,  
10 that's usually associated with a subdural hematoma, yes.

11 Q. Right. But you talked about the spectrum.  
12 They can actually result from a very minor trauma?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. And there's even literature and case reports  
15 where subdural hemorrhages resulted spontaneously with  
16 no evidence of trauma?

17 A. That's been published, yes, sir.

18 Q. And so the fact that Mr. Robinson had a  
19 subdural hematoma, that doesn't mean he was beaten up by  
20 anybody, does it?

21 A. I never used the term "beaten," no.

22 Q. And I understand that. I'm just asking you  
23 that -- I want to make sure the jury understands the  
24 fact that Mr. Robinson had a subdural hematoma is not  
25 evidence that he was beaten up by anybody.

1           A.     Well, in my opinion, there was traumatic  
2 injury that resulted it, but I never said that he was  
3 beaten.

4           Q.     So we can agree that it doesn't -- that you  
5 can't deduce from the presence of a subdural hematoma  
6 that there was a beat down, a beating?

7           A.     That's correct.

8           Q.     Now, some people are actually more at risk  
9 than others for developing subdural hemorrhages, aren't  
10 they?

11          A.     Correct.

12          Q.     What are some of the known risk factors in  
13 the literature that predispose people to having subdural  
14 hemorrhages as a result of even a minor trauma?

15          A.     I don't know the exact percentage of which is  
16 more, but age is a factor.

17          Q.     And how old was Mr. Robinson?

18          A.     Sixty-two.

19          Q.     Okay. So that would -- that's certainly not  
20 elderly, but it's getting up there -- it's more advanced  
21 age?

22          A.     Yes.

23          Q.     Okay. What else, Dr. LeVaughn?

24          A.     Medications, anticoagulants.

25          Q.     Okay. Would you explain to the jury when you

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

362

1 say anticoagulants, what -- what that means?

2 A. A blood clot is formed by a series of  
3 chemical processes called coagulation and that leads to  
4 a blood clot. There are numerous medications that  
5 diminish the ability of the blood to clot. And those  
6 are called anticoagulants. So anticoagulants could be a  
7 risk factor for a subdural hemorrhage.

8 Q. And what anticoagulants was Mr. Robinson on?

9 A. There were two, aspirin and Plavix.

10 Q. Okay. I know the jury knows what aspirin is,  
11 and some of them may know what Plavix is. But what is  
12 Plavix?

13 A. Aspirin and Plavix affect a component of the  
14 blood called platelets. They're not actually cells but  
15 they're particles in the blood that initiate the  
16 beginning of a blood clot. These little particles, they  
17 adhere to the bleeding site. They clump together. As  
18 they clump together, it initiates chemical reactions,  
19 then the ultimate goal is to form a protein called  
20 fibrin and the fibrin is kind of the glue that holds it  
21 together so the healing process can start.

22 So aspirin is -- that affects platelets, and  
23 Plavix affects platelet aggregation. They do it by two  
24 different chemical pathways, but they both affect the  
25 platelet aggregation at the site of an injury.

1 Q. And as a layman, is it fair to say that  
2 Plavix is a pretty strong blood thinner?

3 A. It's -- yeah. Sure.

4 Q. And you reviewed the medical records from  
5 UMC, so you saw the medical record where the lab work  
6 was done. There was a test performed that confirmed  
7 that Mr. Robinson was actually on Plavix and that his  
8 platelets were dysfunctional?

9 A. There was a laboratory test that showed -- it  
10 was actually specific for a Plavix type anticoagulant  
11 that showed that that was present because of the value  
12 of that test result was less than the normal range. So  
13 it showed that there was a Plavix effect --

14 Q. Which --

15 A. -- in his blood.

16 Q. -- to the jury means he was taking Plavix?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Which means he was taking a blood thinner?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And Plavix, anticoagulant therapy, makes a  
21 person much more at risk for -- particularly combined  
22 with other risk factors, but it certainly increases your  
23 risk for developing a subdural hematoma?

24 A. It can, yes.

25 Q. What about hypertension?

1           A.     Hypertension is also a risk factor for a  
2     subdural.

3           Q.     And Mr. Robinson had hypertension?

4           A.     That's correct.

5           Q.     And he also had chronic diabetes?

6           A.     He was diabetic. I don't think diabetes is a  
7     strong risk factor, but he was diabetic.

8           Q.     And I believe you -- talking about the three  
9     abrasions that you saw, it's fair to say that those are  
10    similar to scrapes or scuff marks?

11          A.     Well, abrasions are superficial injuries to  
12    the surface of the skin, yes.

13          Q.     Superficial injuries; is that correct?

14          A.     Correct.

15          Q.     And the fact that Mr. Robinson had a  
16    craniotomy, that's a pretty significant procedure?

17          A.     That's correct.

18          Q.     And even though typically crani- -- do you  
19    know how a craniotomy is performed? I'm not talking  
20    about in detail, but you know the positioning of the  
21    body?

22          A.     The patient -- and according to the medical  
23    records, he was supine, lying face up, and then his head  
24    was rotated to expose the right side of his head.

25          Q.     Did you read the surgical note that said he

1 was actually face down in a donut?

2 A. I didn't. I just saw multiple references  
3 that he was supine, which to me he's face up, that he  
4 was -- in order to have access to the right side of his  
5 head, his head was rotated somewhat to the right.

6 Q. And somebody on Plavix and aspirin, because  
7 it's a blood thinner, that predisposes you or  
8 predisposes a person to bruising. Is that fair to say?

9 A. When you use the term "bruising," I think of  
10 a traumatic injury.

11 Q. Well, not spontaneous bruising, but -- but if  
12 they --

13 A. It predisposes the person to bleed in certain  
14 patterns, yes.

15 Q. But I think most people have seen people that  
16 are on blood thinners. They're older. They bump their  
17 knee, they bump their arm on a door, they bump  
18 something, they usually develop a -- or they're much  
19 more likely to develop a bruise when they're on blood  
20 thinners than when they're not?

21 A. It's possible, yes.

22 Q. And I want to be sure I understand. As far  
23 as the history that you had and that Dr. Davis had was  
24 an assault, correct?

25 A. Yes, sir.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

366

1 Q. And that there were actually two broken ribs;  
2 is that correct?

3 A. That was also described in the investigation  
4 material that we received.

5 Q. And Dr. Davis -- well, as part of an autopsy,  
6 if you have a history of broken ribs, you're going to  
7 look for broken ribs, aren't you?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you're relying on Dr. Davis here today  
10 because you didn't do the autopsy, correct?

11 A. That's correct, yes, sir.

12 Q. And you know Dr. Davis?

13 A. I do.

14 Q. Would he have checked the ribs if they -- if  
15 it was an assault victim with purportedly broken ribs?

16 A. In my opinion, he would.

17 Q. And if you check them, are they visible? Are  
18 you going to see them?

19 A. Well, if they are visible, then they would be  
20 documented, yes.

21 Q. Which broken ribs are going to be visible  
22 postmortem, correct?

23 A. Yeah. Not always but, again, it depends on  
24 the amount of force and the appearance of the rib.  
25 These were, I believe, described as nondisplaced. That

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

367

1 means the rib wasn't separated, so those would be more  
2 difficult to see at times.

3 Q. So -- but he looked and didn't note any, did  
4 he?

5 A. Well, he didn't note any.

6 Q. So you're saying that --

7 A. I assume that he looked, but, I mean, they  
8 were not noted.

9 Q. And a lot of your -- you're making  
10 assumptions based on his report on everything, other  
11 than what you see on the photographs, correct?

12 A. Correct. Yes.

13 Q. So why are you differentiating all of the --  
14 this particular moment? Why are you hesitating when it  
15 comes to the fact that he didn't document broken ribs?

16 A. Well, you asked me if he saw them. I --

17 Q. Well --

18 A. -- I can't tell you whether he saw them. He  
19 just -- as far as the documentation, they were not  
20 documented.

21 Q. That's fair. And you would have expected him  
22 to look for them?

23 A. Yes.

24 BY MR. CORY: Court's indulgence.

25 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS)

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

368

1 BY MR. CORY: (Continuing)

2 Q. Now, I'm going to get some exhibits of the  
3 photographs that have been admitted into evidence and  
4 just run through them real quickly with you.

5 The first one is Exhibit 7, and that's a picture  
6 of Mr. Robinson's -- well, you describe it for the jury.  
7 What is that a picture of?

8 A. Exhibit 7 is a photograph of the front chest,  
9 abdomen, neck and head area of Mr. Robinson before the  
10 internal or surgical portion of the autopsy.

11 Q. In looking at that photo, is there any  
12 evidence of any blunt force trauma or bruising or  
13 anything to his chest or arms that are visible in this  
14 photo?

15 A. No, sir.

16 Q. And this is Exhibit 12. Is there any  
17 evidence there of any bruise -- well, let me back up.  
18 Would you describe that briefly?

19 A. Exhibit 12 is a photograph of the left side  
20 of Mr. Robinson's head, his face, neck and shoulder.

21 Q. And is there any evidence of any blunt force  
22 trauma there that you can see?

23 A. No, sir.

24 BY MR. CORY: And, Judge, at this time I  
25 would like to use the photograph that was

1 marked for identification.

2 BY THE COURT: What says the State?

3 BY MR. GUTHRIE: The State objects,  
4 Your Honor.

5 BY THE COURT: Objection has previously  
6 been noted on the record. It'll be  
7 overruled. You may proceed. You may mark it  
8 and be admitted.

9 (SAID AUTOPSY PHOTO WAS MARKED EXHIBIT NUMBER 13, WAS  
10 RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE, AND MAY BE FOUND APART FROM THE  
11 RECORD.)

12 BY MR. CORY: (Continuing)

13 Q. Now, ladies and gentlemen, this is  
14 Exhibit 13. And would you describe that photo,  
15 Dr. LeVaughn?

16 A. Exhibit 13 is a photograph at the medical  
17 examiner's office, one of the early photographs that we  
18 take. And it shows the identification scale with the  
19 number on it and also the identification bracelet with  
20 his identification on it which is placed around his  
21 right ankle. But the photograph anatomically shows his  
22 lower extremities, legs.

23 Q. And as far as looking at his legs, is there  
24 any indication of any trauma to his legs?

25 A. No, sir.

1           Q.     Now, Dr. LeVaughn, based on everything you've  
2 reviewed in these photographs, is it fair to say that  
3 you don't see evidence consistent with Mr. Robinson  
4 being body slammed head first into the roadway pavement?

5           A.     Well, he had blunt injury to his head.

6           Q.     But that blunt injury, we're talking about  
7 the abrasions, correct?

8           A.     Well, the abrasion and the contusion.

9           Q.     Right.

10          A.     But he had -- in my opinion, he had impact  
11 injury to his head. I don't -- I never -- I wouldn't  
12 use -- I just said blunt injury. I didn't say body  
13 slammed or anything. I just -- it's an impact injury.

14          Q.     No. And I understand you didn't say it. I'm  
15 just saying, in fairness to these defendants, these  
16 photos are not consistent with somebody who was picked  
17 up off the ground, driven into the ground head first,  
18 body slammed into the pavement. Is that fair to say?

19          A.     Well, I mean, I described head injury, but I  
20 can't really define what a body slam injury is.

21          Q.     It sounds like a pretty serious injury,  
22 though, doesn't it?

23          A.     Well, it seemed like -- I mean, it would --  
24 take some --

25                   BY MR. GUTHRIE: Objection, Your Honor.

1           Calls for speculation.

2           BY THE COURT:   What says the defense?

3           BY MR. CORY:   Judge, body slam is just a  
4           common term.   It's been testified to.   I  
5           think everybody understands what body  
6           slamming means.

7           BY THE COURT:   I'm going to overrule the  
8           objection.   This witness has been qualified  
9           as an expert in forensic pathology, and so  
10          the question would be something in scope as  
11          to whether or not those types of injuries  
12          would be consistent with that type of  
13          descriptive.   So the witness may answer if he  
14          can.

15       BY MR. CORY:   (Continuing)

16           Q.     And I'll give you a little background.   If a  
17       witness testified that Mr. Robinson was picked up off  
18       the ground, turned over and driven into the ground head  
19       first to the pavement --

20           BY MR. GUTHRIE:   Objection, Your Honor.

21       BY MR. CORY:   (Continuing)

22           Q.     -- would that be --

23           BY MR. GUTHRIE:   Mischaracterization of  
24       the witness's testimony.

25           BY THE COURT:   Okay.   First of all, only

1           one person can speak at a time. What is your  
2           objection?

3           BY MR. GUTHRIE: Objection is it's a  
4           mischaracterization of the prior witnesses'  
5           testimony.

6           BY THE COURT: All right. Counsel, he  
7           did not complete his question. Let me allow  
8           him to complete his question before I rule on  
9           your objection.

10       BY MR. CORY: (Continuing)

11           Q. Let me rephrase. If somebody testified that  
12       Mr. Robinson was picked up off the ground and driven  
13       into the -- or slammed head first into the pavement or  
14       into the road, these photos would not be consistent with  
15       the type of injury you would expect to see in all your  
16       experience as a medical examiner, is it?

17           BY THE COURT: The objection will be  
18           overruled. You may answer if you can.

19           BY THE WITNESS: You said body slammed  
20           head first into the ground. I described  
21           blunt head injuries.

22       BY MR. CORY: (Continuing)

23           Q. Right.

24           A. I don't know how those exactly occurred.

25           Q. Right. But they're not consistent with what

1 I described, are they?

2 A. I'm not sure if I can answer that.

3 Q. These injuries are consistent with somebody  
4 having a -- hitting the ground, having a -- scraping the  
5 ground. They're not consistent with somebody being body  
6 slammed into the ground, are they, in your experience?  
7 You've been a medical examiner a long time.

8 A. Again, I'm not trying to dance the issue, but  
9 the injuries, the abrasions are blunt injuries.

10 Q. We agree.

11 A. They're not only caused by lateral scraping  
12 movement. They can be direct impact injuries.

13 Q. Sure.

14 A. Cause a sequence of events. I don't know  
15 that there's any library of injuries about body  
16 slamming. To me that means there's multiple areas of  
17 the body that could be injured.

18 Q. Well, let me rephrase it a different way.  
19 You're not here today to testify that this injury was  
20 the result of being body slammed, are you?

21 A. No.

22 Q. And if Mr. Robinson was struck multiple times  
23 in the head and chest, would you have expected to see  
24 evidence of that on autopsy in addition to the three  
25 abrasions you -- that are documented?

1           A.     Well, I documented three blunt injuries to  
2 the head. Could be a result of being struck. But there  
3 were no other injuries anywhere else on the chest that  
4 were any -- there were no blunt injuries on the chest.

5           Q.     And likewise, there were no injuries on the  
6 chest or head that indicate kicking?

7           A.     You can't -- you know, I described a blunt  
8 injury --

9           Q.     Right.

10          A.     -- which could be from punching, kicking,  
11 head hitting something. I can't define how these  
12 injuries occurred, but they were only to the head. They  
13 were not -- there were no injuries to the chest.

14          Q.     But we can agree that a blunt force trauma  
15 can be a very minor trauma. It just means the -- it  
16 just describes the nature of what the impact was. Blunt  
17 force doesn't mean it's a heavy force, correct?

18          A.     No. It just means nonsharp.

19          Q.     Right. Nonsharp. So if a witness testified  
20 that somebody raised their foot up off the ground and  
21 stomped on Mr. Robinson's face, do you see any injury  
22 consistent with that on these photographs?

23          A.     Well, again, I can't differentiate a shoe  
24 injury from a baseball bat injury, but there was injury.  
25 All I'm describing is injuries to his face. I cannot

1 tell you how they occurred. It was an impact injury to  
2 his right side of his head.

3 Q. But you testify a lot. You've testified a  
4 lot in your career as an officer of the court.

5 A. I have, yes.

6 Q. And you're telling the ladies and gentlemen  
7 of this jury and this Court that you can't differentiate  
8 between the injury that you would expect to see in your  
9 experience if somebody picked up their foot and stomped  
10 it on somebody's face?

11 A. That would be a blunt injury and that  
12 could -- it could cause no injury. It could cause an  
13 abrasion and beyond. I can't tell you what kind of  
14 object caused these abrasions.

15 BY MR. CORY: I have no further  
16 questions for this witness.

17 BY THE COURT: Cross-examination on  
18 behalf of Lampley.

19 BY MR. SPRINGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SPRINGER:

21 Q. Dr. LeVaughn, I'm Francis Springer. I  
22 represent Lincoln Lampley. I hope not to take a lot of  
23 time because you've been up there for a while.

24 Let me just ask one question that caught my  
25 attention a minute ago. You said that you can't

1 differentiate between an injury caused by a baseball bat  
2 and one caused by a shoe or a foot?

3 A. I would --

4 Q. I'm just asking is that what you said?

5 A. -- say is they're both blunt injuries.

6 They're different objects and they're nonsharp objects.

7 They can cause abrasions and bruising.

8 Q. But you can't tell the difference between  
9 which caused an injury?

10 A. Well, I mean, it depends on, I guess, the  
11 amount of force that's used. You can sometimes see shoe  
12 prints. I've seen that on people. You can see  
13 depressed skull fractures in baseball bat -- but just  
14 unless there's something very significant that leaves a  
15 specific pattern, no, they're both nonsharp objects.

16 Q. So a moment ago when you said you couldn't,  
17 you actually can in some instances? I'll --

18 A. I'm not sure that's a question or --

19 Q. -- withdraw that question. That's fine. No.  
20 No.

21 A. -- just a comment.

22 Q. It was a question, but I'll withdraw it and  
23 move on.

24 How many pages of medical reports did you have  
25 when you did your first autopsy -- when you completed

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

377

1 the autopsy report the first time?

2 A. Seven.

3 Q. How many have you reviewed since then?

4 A. Hundreds.

5 Q. And nothing changes?

6 A. As far as what?

7 Q. As far as any of your findings, rulings,  
8 anything?

9 A. No. I mean, the records that I have reviewed  
10 after the report described things that I -- I believe I  
11 described in the report.

12 Q. Okay. Did you review any of the ambulance  
13 reports?

14 A. Any what reports? I'm sorry.

15 Q. Reports of AMR, the ambulance that responded  
16 to attend to Mr. Robinson.

17 A. I don't believe I have the -- those actual  
18 run sheets, no.

19 Q. So you've not seen those? Is that what  
20 you're saying?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Okay. How did you learn of the possibility  
23 of an assault involving law enforcement?

24 A. It was -- that's the way the investigative  
25 form described --

1 Q. Okay. Specific- --

2 A. -- the sequence of his injury.

3 Q. Okay. Specifically, what type of assault or  
4 injuries?

5 A. I don't understand the question. I mean, it  
6 was presented to us at the medical examiner's office  
7 that he suffered an assault.

8 Q. Okay. But it wasn't specific?

9 A. No. Didn't have any more detail than that,  
10 no.

11 Q. Didn't say a head injury, a torso injury, leg  
12 injury or anything like that?

13 A. Well, I think it described the subdural  
14 hemorrhage and described rib fractures --

15 Q. All right. What --

16 A. -- in the initial report.

17 Q. Okay. What report initially described that?

18 A. I'm referring to what we call the ME-1 Form,  
19 which is the form that the coroner presents to us which  
20 is our -- basically it serves several purpose:  
21 identification of the patient, a request for a  
22 postmortem exam and history of the event.

23 Q. Okay. You get that from the coroner, the  
24 county coroner?

25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. Okay. And you said it had mentions of rib  
2 fractures?

3 A. On that report I believe it had a comment  
4 about rib fractures.

5 Q. Okay. Would Dr. Davis have gotten that  
6 report?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Did he make any notes -- and I'll ask you not  
9 to refer to anything unless we're looking and talking  
10 specifically about it and everyone knows what we're  
11 talking about.

12 Did anything in his reports that you reviewed have  
13 anything about rib fractures?

14 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Objection, Your Honor.

15 If the expert witness needs to refresh his  
16 memory or recollection from the notes that he  
17 brought, we would ask that he be allowed to  
18 do so.

19 BY MR. SPRINGER: Well, that'd be fine.  
20 I'd just like to know what he's referring to,  
21 Judge.

22 BY THE COURT: Okay. Do you need to  
23 ref- -- I'm going to sustain the objection.

24 I think he probably does need to refresh --

25 BY MR. SPRINGER: That's fine.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

380

1 BY THE COURT: So I'll let you rephrase  
2 and proceed.

3 BY MR. SPRINGER: Sure.

4 BY MR. SPRINGER: (Continuing)

5 Q. Do you know of anything that Dr. Davis had  
6 that you used to draw your conclusions and complete this  
7 autopsy report that showed the fractured ribs as you  
8 reported from the coroner? Would he have had that,  
9 that's the first part of the question, and I think you  
10 answered that. The second part of -- or what I'm asking  
11 now is, did you have that, that he had?

12 A. What I used to generate the report was what  
13 he had in the case file.

14 Q. Okay. And your final report had nothing  
15 about fractured ribs?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. Okay. Did you talk to Dr. Davis about any of  
18 this?

19 A. I did not.

20 Q. Did anything prevent you from talking to him  
21 about any of this?

22 A. Would anything prevent me from talking --

23 Q. Did anything prevent you from calling him,  
24 e-mailing him, anything like that with any questions or  
25 anything about this?

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Cross

381

1 A. No, sir.

2 Q. Okay. Did you get any witness statements  
3 that had been -- and gathered about what people said  
4 they had seen regarding Mr. Robinson?

5 A. At the time of the report or now?

6 Q. At the time of the report.

7 A. No.

8 Q. Have you seen them since then?

9 A. Yes, I have.

10 BY MR. SPRINGER: Court's indulgence.

11 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS)

12 BY MR. SPRINGER: Just a couple of final  
13 questions.

14 BY MR. SPRINGER: (Continuing)

15 Q. How many autopsies did you perform in 2019?  
16 Do you have any idea?

17 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Objection, Your Honor,  
18 to relevance.

19 BY MR. SPRINGER: Your Honor, it was 18  
20 months between the time this was started and  
21 finished. I'm just trying to find out how  
22 many they have.

23 BY THE COURT: The objection will be  
24 overruled. You may proceed. It goes to  
25 credibility.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Redirect

382

1 BY THE WITNESS: Let me back up. I know  
2 in 2018 I did over 700 autopsies.

3 BY MR. SPRINGER: (Continuing)

4 Q. Okay. That's all right.

5 A. In 2019, it was maybe a little less but --

6 Q. Okay. That's fine. That's fine.

7 A. -- several hundred.

8 Q. That's fine.

9 BY MR. SPRINGER: That's all the  
10 questions I have, Your Honor. Thank you,  
11 Dr. LeVaughn.

12 BY THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?

13 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GUTHRIE:

15 Q. Dr. LeVaughn, there's been several questions  
16 about rib fractures. Were there any notes in Dr. Davis'  
17 reports about a rib fracture?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Were there -- and there were many more  
20 pictures of the autopsy than what we actually went  
21 through today. But did you take those pictures?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Did those pictures show a rib fracture?

24 A. In my opinion, no.

25 Q. Okay. Is that why you did -- chose not to

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Redirect

383

1 put it in your report?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Because you could not verify that; is that  
4 correct?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Okay. Now, they were talking about a  
7 subdural hematoma, and they went into that injury quite  
8 a bit. Do you expect a subdural hematoma to evolve  
9 immediately?

10 BY MR. CORY: I'm going to object. The  
11 question was not asked to a reasonable degree  
12 of medical probability.

13 BY THE COURT: I'm sorry. Your mouth is  
14 covered.

15 BY MR. CORY: I'm sorry. I object.  
16 This question is not asked to a reasonable  
17 degree of medical probability.

18 BY THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the  
19 objection. You may proceed.

20 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

21 BY MR. GUTHRIE: (Continuing)

22 Q. Do subdural hematomas evolve immediately?  
23 And I know it's a loaded question, so let me back up and  
24 bring it in parts.

25 Does the subdural hematoma start immediately,

1 which means do the veins begin to leak blood  
2 immediately?

3 A. When you say "immediately," I'm assuming  
4 immediately after a blunt injury?

5 Q. Correct.

6 A. The bleeding -- there's no way to measure it,  
7 but it initially is thought to begin immediately.

8 Q. Correct. Does it take some time for the  
9 volume to increase enough to cause symptoms and swelling  
10 on the brain?

11 A. It does.

12 Q. Does three hours -- is three hours reasonable  
13 to expect between injury and symptoms?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Are the injuries to George Robinson  
16 consistent with blunt force trauma?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Are the injuries consistent with an assault?  
19 That's a generic term. Just assault.

20 A. There -- there are impact injuries to his  
21 head.

22 Q. Okay. Are the injuries to Mr. Robinson  
23 consistent with an impact to the pavement?

24 A. That could be one of the reasons they  
25 occurred, yes.

1           Q.     Okay. There were many questions to you about  
2 being driven head first or body slammed onto the  
3 pavement, thrown down. Everybody has a different  
4 terminology. Do any of these terminologies tell you the  
5 force in which the head hit the pavement?

6           A.     I'm not sure I understand the question. I  
7 think you're asking me something I don't think I can  
8 answer.

9           Q.     Okay. And let me try to rephrase it.  
10           If someone's head hit the pavement -- can  
11 someone's head hit the pavement in different forces?

12          A.     Yes.

13          Q.     Okay.

14          A.     Yes.

15          Q.     So a generic term of someone's head hitting  
16 the pavement, doesn't tell you with any reasonable  
17 degree of certainty what kind of impact it was as far as  
18 the force of the impact?

19          A.     No.

20          Q.     Okay. So when they are thrown down -- when  
21 an individual -- the -- the -- when witnesses describe  
22 being thrown down to the pavement, whether they used the  
23 term body slam, thrown down, driven head first, all of  
24 these different terminologies that come about, they can  
25 spur different things to us, but you as the medical

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Redirect

386

1 examiner -- are you able to determine the force of  
2 impact of Mr. Robinson's head to the pavement from any  
3 of these terms?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Okay. These terms would be subjective to the  
6 person using them, wouldn't they?

7 A. The description?

8 Q. Yes. They mean different things to the  
9 person that actually says the term. It's not a medical  
10 term for you to determine the force in which they hit  
11 the pavement?

12 A. Let me back up just a second.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. I reviewed many medical records and witness  
15 statements and I made a list -- I've got it in here  
16 somewhere in the folder -- of about 12 -- 12 or 13  
17 different descriptions in there of what happened to  
18 Mr. Robinson. Body slam, struggle, beat up -- there  
19 were multiple descriptions of some type of injury that  
20 occurred to him.

21 And in the same descriptions, there was a common  
22 denominator that they resulted in a head injury which  
23 had half a dozen different descriptions: abrasions,  
24 strawberries, bumps, lumps. So I can't tell you the  
25 amount of force that was applied to his head either just

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Redirect

387

1 from the examination of the documents or the description  
2 of some individual. He had -- he sustained a blunt  
3 injury to his head that resulted in these complications.

4 Q. Okay. To a reasonable degree of medical  
5 certainty, did George Robinson being on Plavix kill him?  
6 Was that the cause of his death?

7 A. No.

8 Q. To a reasonable degree of medical certainty,  
9 what was the cause of death of George Robinson?

10 A. As I stated in my opinion, the cause of death  
11 was multiple blunt head trauma.

12 Q. And what was the manner of death to a  
13 reasonable degree of medical certainty?

14 A. In my opinion, it was a homicide.

15 BY MR. GUTHRIE: No further questions,  
16 Your Honor.

17 BY THE COURT: All right. To the State,  
18 may this witness be excused?

19 BY MR. GUTHRIE: Yes, Your Honor.

20 BY THE COURT: To the Defense Barney,  
21 may he be excused?

22 BY MR. CORY: Yes, Your Honor.

23 BY THE COURT: To Defense Lampley, may  
24 he be excused?

25 BY MR. SPRINGER: Yes, Your Honor.

Mark LeVaughn, M.D. -- Redirect

388

1 BY THE COURT: Dr. LeVaughn, you may be  
2 excused.

3 BY THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 (WITNESS EXCUSED)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25